arXiv:1611.01188v1 [math.AP] 3 Nov 2016

On the well-posedness of the hyperelastic rod equation

H. Inci

November 7, 2016

Abstract

In this paper we consider the hyperelastic rod equation on the Sobolev spaces $H^s(\mathbb{R})$, $s > 3/2$. Using a geometric approach we show that for any $T > 0$ the corresponding solution map, $u(0) \mapsto u(T)$, is nowhere locally uniformly continuous. The method applies also to the periodic case $H^s(\mathbb{T})$, $s > 3/2$.

1 Introduction

We consider the following family of equations referred to as the hyperelastic rod equation

$$u_t - u_{txx} + 3uu_x = \gamma(2u_xu_{xx} + uu_{xxx}), \quad t \in \mathbb{R}, x \in \mathbb{R} \tag{1}$$

where $\gamma \neq 0$. The initial value problem for (1) is locally well-posed in the Sobolev spaces $H^s, s > 3/2$ – see [9, 10]. For the corresponding solution map it was shown in [8] in the periodic case that it has not the property to be uniformly continuous on bounded sets, whereas in [6] the same was shown for both cases (periodic and non-periodic) with an improvement for the s range. Our aim here is to prove that the solution map for the range $s > 3/2$ has even less regularity. More precisely

Theorem 1.1. *Let $s > 3/2$ and $T > 0$. Denote by Φ_T the time T solution map of the initial value problem for* (1) *defined on $U_T \subseteq H^s(\mathbb{R})$. Then*

$$\Phi_T : U_T \to H^s(\mathbb{R}), \quad u(0) \mapsto u(T)$$

is nowhere locally uniformly continuous.

We will rewrite (1) by doing the transformation $v(t,x) = u(t,\gamma x)$. This gives

$$v_t - \frac{1}{\gamma^2} v_{txx} + \frac{3}{\gamma} v v_x = \frac{1}{\gamma^2}(2 v_x v_{xx} + v v_{xxx})$$

or rewritten

$$(1 - \frac{1}{\gamma^2}\partial_x^2)(v_t + v v_x) = \frac{\gamma - 3}{\gamma} v v_x - \frac{1}{\gamma^2} v_x v_{xx}$$

and equivalently

$$v_t + v v_x = (1 - \frac{1}{\gamma^2}\partial_x^2)^{-1}(\frac{\gamma - 3}{\gamma} v v_x - \frac{1}{\gamma^2} v_x v_{xx}) =: B(v, v) \tag{2}$$

Note that B is a continuous quadratic form on $H^s(\mathbb{R})$ for $s > 3/2$. It will be enough to establisch Theorem 1.1 for v instead of u. As will be shown in the next section (2) is convenient for the geometric framework.

2 The Geometric framework

We will formulate (2) in a geometric way as was done in [3]. Consider the flow map of v, i.e.

$$\varphi_t(t,x) = v(t, \varphi(t,x)), \quad \varphi(0,x) = x$$

The functional space for the φ variable is for $s > 3/2$ the diffeomorphism group

$$\mathcal{D}^s(\mathbb{R}) = \{\varphi : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R} \mid \varphi - \mathrm{id} \in H^s(\mathbb{R}), \quad \varphi_x(x) > 0 \text{ for all } x \in \mathbb{R}\}$$

where id is the identity map in $\mathbb{R}$. It is a topological group and consits of C^1-diffeomorphisms. For details on this space – see [1]. We can write (2) in the φ variable as

$$\varphi_{tt} = B(\varphi_t \circ \varphi^{-1}, \varphi_t \circ \varphi^{-1}) \circ \varphi \tag{3}$$

The computations in [3] show that right side is a real analytic map

$$\mathcal{D}^s(\mathbb{R}) \to P_2(H^s(\mathbb{R}); H^s(\mathbb{R})), \quad \varphi \mapsto [v \mapsto B(v \circ \varphi^{-1}, v \circ \varphi^{-1}) \circ \varphi]$$

where we denote by $P_2(H^s(\mathbb{R}); H^s(\mathbb{R}))$ the space of continuous quadratic forms on $H^s(\mathbb{R})$ with values in $H^s(\mathbb{R})$. We can write the second order equation (3) as a first order equation on the tangent space $T\mathcal{D}^s(\mathbb{R}) = \mathcal{D}^s(\mathbb{R}) \times H^s(\mathbb{R})$

$$\partial_t \begin{pmatrix} \varphi \\ v \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} v \\ B(v \circ \varphi^{-1}, v \circ \varphi^{-1}) \circ \varphi \end{pmatrix} \tag{4}$$

The quadratic nature of the second component makes it to a so called Spray – see [7]. It has in particular an exponential map. To define this map consider the ODE (4) with initial values $\varphi(0) = \mathrm{id}$ and $v(0) = v_0$. Denote by $V \subseteq H^s(\mathbb{R})$ those initial values v_0 for which we have existence beyond time 1. With this we define

$$\exp : V \subseteq H^s(\mathbb{R}) \to \mathcal{D}^s(\mathbb{R}), \quad v_0 \mapsto \varphi(1; v_0)$$

where $\varphi(1; v_0)$ is the time 1 value of the φ-component. Because of analytic dependence on initial values exp is real analytic. Furthermore for any $v_0 \in H^s(\mathbb{R})$ the curve $\varphi(t) = \exp(tv_0)$ is the φ-component of the solution to (4) with initial values $\varphi(0) = \mathrm{id}$ and $v(0) = v_0$. In particular the solution exists as long as $tv_0 \in V$.
With this we can construct solutions to (2). So consider (2) with initial condition $v(0) = v_0 \in H^s(\mathbb{R})$. For $\varphi(t) = \exp(tv_0)$ we define

$$v(t) = \varphi_t(t) \circ \varphi(t)^{-1}$$

It turns out that v is a solution to (2) – see [3]. By the local well-posedness for ODEs we immediately recover local well-posedness – see [9, 10].

Theorem 2.1. *The initial value problem for* (1) *is locally well-posed in the Sobolev spaces* $H^s(\mathbb{R}), s > 3/2$.

3 Nonuniform dependence

In this section we establish our main result Theorem 1.1. As mentioned already it will be enough to prove this for the modified equation (2). We can further simplify by considering the theorem by just considering the time $T = 1$ situation as we have for v a solution to (2) that

$$\tilde{v}(t, x) := \lambda v(\lambda t, x)$$

is also a solution to (2).
We proceed as in [3]. In [3] we used a conserved quantity to establish the result. For equation (2) we have something similar.

Lemma 3.1. *Let $s > 3/2$. For v a solution to (2) with initial value $v(0) = v_0 \in H^s(\mathbb{R})$ we have*

$$\left((1-\frac{1}{\gamma^2}\partial_x^2)v(t)\right)\circ\varphi(t)\cdot\varphi_x(t)^2 = (1-\frac{1}{\gamma^2}\partial_x^2)v_0 + \int_0^t \frac{3\gamma-3}{\gamma}\frac{\varphi_t(s)\varphi_{tx}(s)}{\varphi_x(s)}\,ds \tag{5}$$

where $\varphi(t) = \exp(tv_0)$.

The essential thing here is that the "remainder" term, the integral term, is more regular then the first term.

Proof. We differentiate the expression on the left in the Lemma with respect to t and use the equation for v. We have by the chain rule

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{d}{dt}(((1-\frac{1}{\gamma^2}\partial_x^2)v)\circ\varphi) &= ((1-\frac{1}{\gamma^2}\partial_x^2)v_t)\circ\varphi + ((1-\frac{1}{\gamma^2}\partial_x^2)v_x)\circ\varphi\cdot\varphi_t \\
&= \left((1-\frac{1}{\gamma^2}\partial_x^2)v_t + ((1-\frac{1}{\gamma^2}\partial_x^2)v_x)\cdot v\right)\circ\varphi \\
&= \left((1-\frac{1}{\gamma^2}\partial_x^2)v_t + (1-\frac{1}{\gamma^2}\partial_x^2)(v_x\cdot v) + \frac{3}{\gamma^2}v_xv_{xx}\right)\circ\varphi \\
&= (\frac{\gamma-3}{\gamma}vv_x + \frac{2}{\gamma^2}v_xv_{xx})\circ\varphi
\end{aligned}$$

where we used equation (2) in the last equality. Therefore we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{d}{dt}(((1-\frac{1}{\gamma^2}\partial_x^2)v)\circ\varphi\cdot\varphi_x^2) &= (vv_x + \frac{2}{\gamma^2}v_xv_{xx})\circ\varphi\cdot\varphi_x^2 + (v-\frac{1}{\gamma^2}v_{xx})2\varphi_x\varphi_{tx} \\
&= \frac{3\gamma-3}{\gamma}(vv_x)\circ\varphi = \frac{3\gamma-3}{\gamma}\frac{\varphi_t\varphi_{tx}}{\varphi_x}
\end{aligned}$$

where we used $\varphi_{tx}\varphi_x^{-1} = v_x\circ\varphi$. As $\varphi(0) = \mathrm{id}$ integrating gives the result in the case where we work with regular solutions. But as long as $||v_x||_{L^\infty}$ is controlled (similar to the Beale-Majda-Kato criterium) one has continuation of the solution – see [10]. Thus by approximation by regular solutions one has (5) for all $s > 3/2$. □

In the following we will use the notation

$$y(t) := (1 - \frac{1}{\gamma^2}\partial_x^2)v(t) \text{ and } \Psi(t) = \int_0^t \frac{3\gamma - 3}{\gamma}\frac{\varphi_t(s)\varphi_{tx}(s)}{\varphi_x(s)}\, ds$$

Hence from (5)

$$y(1) = \left(\frac{y(0)}{\varphi_x(1)^2}\right) \circ \varphi(1)^{-1} + \left(\frac{\Psi(1)}{\varphi_x(1)^2}\right) \circ \varphi(1)^{-1}$$

In the following we will use also $\Psi_{v_0} := \Psi(1)$ for the corresponding initial value v_0. Theorem (1.1) will follow from

Proposition 3.2. *Let $V \subseteq H^s(\mathbb{R})$ be the domain of definition of* exp. *We denote by $v(t)$ solutions to* (2). *Then the map*

$$\Phi : V \subseteq H^s(\mathbb{R}) \to H^s(\mathbb{R}), \quad v(0) \mapsto v(1)$$

is nowhere locally uniformly continuous.

To prove Proposition 3.2 we will show that $y(0) \mapsto y(1)$ is nowhere locally uniformly continuous. This is clearly enough. Before doing this we state some facts – see [3] for the proofs.
For $\varphi_\bullet \in \mathcal{D}^s(\mathbb{R})$ there is $C > 0$ with

$$\frac{1}{C}|| \left(\frac{y}{\widetilde{\varphi}_x^2}\right) \circ \varphi^{-1}||_{s-2} \leq ||y||_{s-2} \leq C|| \left(\frac{y}{\widetilde{\varphi}_x^2}\right) \circ \varphi^{-1}||_{s-2}$$

for all $y \in H^{s-2}(\mathbb{R})$ and for all $\widetilde{\varphi}, \varphi$ in some neighborhood of $\varphi_\bullet$.
For $\varphi_\bullet \in \mathcal{D}^s(\mathbb{R})$ there is $C > 0$ with

$$||f \circ \varphi_1^{-1} - f \circ \varphi_2^{-1}||_{s-2} \leq C||f||_{s-1}||\varphi_1^{-1} - \varphi_2^{-1}||_{s-2}$$

for all $f \in H^{s-1}(\mathbb{R})$ and for all φ_1, φ_2 in a neighborhood of $\varphi_\bullet$.
Further we construct a dense subset $S \subseteq V$ with $S \subseteq H^{s+1}(\mathbb{R})$ and $d_v \exp \neq 0$ for all $v \in S$. Here $d_v \exp$ is the differential. Take an arbitrary $v \in V \cap H^{s+1}(\mathbb{R})$ and $w \in H^s(\mathbb{R}), x \in \mathbb{R}$ with $w(x) \neq 0$. Consider the analytic map

$$\mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}, \quad t \mapsto \left(d_{tv} \exp(w)\right)(x)$$

which at $t = 0$ is $w(x)$, in particular nonzero. Thus there is a sequence $t_n \uparrow 1$ with $\left(d_{t_n v} \exp(w)\right)(x) \neq 0$. So putting $t_n v$ to S gives the construction we need.
With this preparation we can proceed to the proof of Proposition 3.2. It is essentially the same proof as in [3].

Proof of Proposition 3.2. We take $v_0 \in S \subseteq H^{s+1}(\mathbb{R})$ in the dense subspace and show that Φ is not uniformly continuous on any ball $B_R(v_0) \subseteq V$ of radius $R > 0$ with center v_0. By the construction of S we fix $g \in H^s(\mathbb{R})$ and $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}$ with

$$\left(d_{v_0} \exp(g)\right)(x_0) > m||g||_s$$

for some $m > 0$. Denote $\varphi_\bullet = \exp(v_0)$. We choose $R_1 > 0$ in such a way that we have

$$\frac{1}{C_1}|| \left(\frac{y}{\widetilde{\varphi}_x^2}\right) \circ \varphi^{-1}||_{s-2} \leq ||y||_{s-2} \leq C_1|| \left(\frac{y}{\widetilde{\varphi}_x^2}\right) \circ \varphi^{-1}||_{s-2}$$

for some $C_1 > 0$ for all $y \in H^{s-2}(\mathbb{R})$ and $\tilde{\varphi}, \varphi \in \exp(B_{R_1}(v_0))$. Taking $0 < R_2 \leq R_1$ we can garantuee that

$$||y \circ \varphi^{-1}||_{s-2} \leq C_2||y||_{s-2}$$

for some C_2 and for all $y \in H^{s-2}(\mathbb{R})$ and $\varphi \in \exp(B_{R_2}(v_0))$. Choosing $0 < R_3 \leq R_2$ we can ensure

$$||f \circ \varphi_1^{-1} - f \circ \varphi_2^{-1}||_{s-2} \leq \tilde{C}_3||f||_{s-1}||\varphi_1^{-1} - \varphi_2^{-1}||_{s-2} \leq C_3||f||_{s-1}||\varphi_1 - \varphi_2||_s$$

for some $C_3 > 0$ and for all $f \in H^{s-1}(\mathbb{R})$ and $\varphi_1, \varphi_2 \in \exp(B_{R_3}(v_0))$. Furthermore we denote by $C > 0$ the constant in the Sobolev imbedding

$$||f||_{L^\infty} \leq C||f||_s$$

Consider the Taylor expansion for exp

$$\exp(w + h) = \exp(w) + d_w \exp(h) + \int_0^1 (1 - t)d_{w+th} \exp(h, h)\, dt$$

We choose $0 < R_4 \leq R_3$ in such a way that we have

$$||d_w^2 \exp(h_1, h_2)||_s \leq K||h_1||_s||h_2||_s$$

and

$$||d_{w_1}^2 \exp(h_1, h_2) - d_{w_2}^2 \exp(h_1, h_2)||_s \leq K||w_1 - w_2||_s||h_1||_s||h_2||_s$$

for some $K > 0$ and for all $w, w_1, w_2 \in \exp(B_{R_4}(v_0))$ and for all $h_1, h_2 \in H^s(\mathbb{R})$. By taking $0 < R_5 \leq R_4$ small enough we have

$$\max\{C \cdot K \cdot R_5, C \cdot K \cdot R_5^2\} < m/2$$

By the final choice $0 < R_* \leq R_5$ we can make

$$|\varphi(x)-\varphi(y)| \leq L|x-y| \text{ and } ||\Psi_v||_s \leq M \text{ and } ||\exp(v)-\exp(\tilde{v})||_s \leq L||v-\tilde{v}||_s$$

to hold for all $\varphi \in \exp(B_{R_*})$ and $v, \tilde{v} \in B_{R_*}(v_0)$. The goal is to prove that Φ is not uniformly continuous on $B_R(v_0)$ for any $0 < R \leq R_*$. So we fix $0 < R \leq R_*$. We define the sequence of radii

$$r_n = \frac{m}{8n}||g||_s, \quad n \geq 1$$

and take arbitrary smooth w_n with support in $(x_0 - \frac{r_n}{L}, x_0 + \frac{r_n}{L})$ and constant mass $||w_n||_s = R/4$. Further we define $g_n = g/n$, which tends to zero in $H^s(\mathbb{R})$. With this we introduce two sequences

$$z_n = v_0 + w_n \quad \text{and} \quad \tilde{z}_n = z_n + g_n = v_0 + w_n + g_n$$

For N large enough we clearly have $z_n, \tilde{z}_n \in B_R(v_0)$ for $n \geq N$ and $||z_n - \tilde{z}_n||_s \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$. Further we introduce the corresponding diffeomorphisms

$$\varphi_n = \exp(z_n) \quad \text{and} \quad \widetilde{\varphi}_n = \exp(\tilde{z}_n)$$

The result will follow from $\limsup_{n\to\infty} ||\Phi(z_n) - \Phi(\tilde{z}_n)||_s > 0$. Reexpressing Φ with (5) and using the notation $y_n = (1 - \frac{1}{\gamma^2}\partial_x^2)z_n$ and $\tilde{y}_n = (1 - \frac{1}{\gamma^2}\partial_x^2)\tilde{z}_n$ and $\Psi_{z_n}, \Psi_{\tilde{z}_n}$ for the "remainder" terms this is equivalent to

$$\limsup_{n\to\infty} ||\frac{y_n}{(\varphi_n)_x^2} \circ \varphi_n^{-1} - \frac{\tilde{y}_n}{(\widetilde{\varphi}_n)_x^2} \circ \widetilde{\varphi}^{-1} + \frac{\Psi_{z_n}}{(\varphi_n)_x^2} \circ \varphi_n^{-1} - \frac{\Psi_{\tilde{z}_n}}{(\widetilde{\varphi}_n)_x^2} \circ \widetilde{\varphi}_n^{-1}||_{s-2} > 0$$

As the Ψ terms are more regular than H^{s-2}, namely in H^{s-1}, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&||\frac{\Psi_{z_n}}{(\varphi_n)_x^2} \circ \varphi_n^{-1} - \frac{\Psi_{\tilde{z}_n}}{(\widetilde{\varphi}_n)_x^2} \circ \widetilde{\varphi}_n^{-1}||_{s-2} \\
&\leq ||\frac{\Psi_{z_n}}{(\varphi_n)_x^2} \circ \varphi_n^{-1} - \frac{\Psi_{z_n}}{(\varphi_n)_x^2} \circ \widetilde{\varphi}_n^{-1}||_{s-2} + ||\frac{\Psi_{z_n}}{(\varphi_n)_x^2} \circ \widetilde{\varphi}_n^{-1} - \frac{\Psi_{\tilde{z}_n}}{(\widetilde{\varphi}_n)_x^2} \circ \widetilde{\varphi}_n^{-1}||_{s-2} \\
&\leq C_3||\frac{\Psi_{z_n}}{(\varphi_n)_x^2}||_{s-1}||\varphi_n - \widetilde{\varphi}_n||_s + C_2||\frac{\Psi_{z_n}}{(\varphi_n)_x^2} - \frac{\Psi_{\tilde{z}_n}}{(\widetilde{\varphi}_n)_x^2}||_{s-2} \to 0
\end{aligned}$$

as $n \to \infty$ since $z \mapsto \Psi_z/(\partial_x \exp(z))^2$ is a smooth. Thus it remains to establish

$$\limsup_{n\to\infty} ||\frac{y_n}{(\varphi_n)_x^2} \circ \varphi_n^{-1} - \frac{\tilde{y}_n}{(\widetilde{\varphi}_n)_x^2} \circ \widetilde{\varphi}_n^{-1}||_{s-2} > 0$$

We split

$$y_n = (1 - \frac{1}{\gamma^2}\partial_x^2)(v_0 + w_n) \text{ resp. } \tilde{y}_n = (1 - \frac{1}{\gamma^2}\partial_x^2)(v_0 + w_n + g_n)$$

As $v_0 \in H^{s+1}$ we can treat the v_0 terms in the same way as the Ψ terms and get

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} ||\frac{(1 - \frac{1}{\gamma^2}\partial_x^2)v_0}{(\varphi_n)_x^2} \circ \varphi_n^{-1} - \frac{(1 - \frac{1}{\gamma^2}\partial_x^2)v_0}{(\widetilde{\varphi}_n)_x^2} \circ \widetilde{\varphi}_n^{-1}||_{s-2} = 0$$

For the g_n term we have trivially

$$||\frac{(1 - \frac{1}{\gamma^2}\partial_x^2)g_n}{(\widetilde{\varphi}_n)_x^2} \circ \widetilde{\varphi}_n^{-1}||_{s-2} \leq C_1||(1 - \frac{1}{\gamma^2}\partial_x^2)g_n||_{s-2} \to 0$$

The only remaining thing is to consider

$$\limsup_{n\to\infty} ||\frac{(1 - \frac{1}{\gamma^2}\partial_x^2)w_n}{(\varphi_n)_x^2} \circ \varphi_n^{-1} - \frac{(1 - \frac{1}{\gamma^2}\partial_x^2)w_n}{(\widetilde{\varphi}_n)_x^2} \circ \widetilde{\varphi}_n^{-1}||_{s-2}$$

In order to estimate this from below we will establish that the two terms have disjoint support. This we do by estimating the distance $|\varphi_n(x_0) - \widetilde{\varphi}_n(x_0)|$. By the Taylor expansion we have

$$\varphi_n = \exp(v_0) + d_{v_0}\exp(w_n) + \int_0^1 (1-t)d^2_{v_0+tw_n}\exp(w_n, w_n)\, dt$$

resp.

$$\widetilde{\varphi}_n = \exp(v_0) + d_{v_0}\exp(w_n+g_n) + \int_0^1 (1-t)d^2_{v_0+t(w_n+g_n)}\exp(w_n+g_n, w_n+g_n)\, dt$$

Taking the difference we can write

$$\widetilde{\varphi}_n - \varphi_n = d_{v_0}\exp(g_n) + \mathcal{R}_1 + \mathcal{R}_2 + \mathcal{R}_3$$

where

$$\mathcal{R}_1 = \int_0^1 (1-t)(d^2_{v_0+t(w_n+g_n)}(w_n, w_n) - d^2_{v_0+tw_n}(w_n, w_n))\, dt$$

and

$$\mathcal{R}_2 = \int_0^1 (1-t)d^2_{v_0+t(w_n+g_n)}(g_n, g_n)\, dt$$

and

$$\mathcal{R}_2 = 2\int_0^1 (1-t) d^2_{v_0+t(w_n+g_n)}(w_n, g_n)\, dt$$

For these we have

$$||\mathcal{R}_1||_\infty \leq C||\mathcal{R}_1||_s \leq CK||g_n||_s||w_n||_s^2 \leq \frac{1}{n}CK||g||_s(R/4)^2 \leq \frac{1}{4n}CKR^2||g||_s$$

and

$$||\mathcal{R}_2||_\infty \leq C||\mathcal{R}_2||_s \leq 2CK||g_n||_s||w_n||_s \leq \frac{1}{n}CK||g||_s(R/4) \leq \frac{2}{4n}CKR||g||_s$$

and

$$||\mathcal{R}_3||_\infty \leq C||\mathcal{R}_3||_s \leq CK||g_n||_s^2 \leq \frac{1}{n}CK||g||_s(R/4) \leq \frac{1}{4n}CKR||g||_s$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} |\varphi_n(x_0) - \widetilde{\varphi}_n(x_0)| &\geq |d_{v_0}\exp(g_n)| - ||\mathcal{R}_1||_\infty - ||\mathcal{R}_2||_\infty - ||\mathcal{R}_3||_\infty \\ &\geq \frac{1}{n}m||g||_s - \frac{1}{n}\frac{m}{2}||g||_s = \frac{m}{2n}||g||_s \end{aligned}$$

The support of $\frac{(1-\frac{1}{\gamma^2}\partial_x^2)w_n}{(\varphi_n)_x^2} \circ \varphi_n^{-1}$ is contained in $(\varphi_n(x_0) - r_n, \varphi_n(x_0) + r_n)$ taking into account the lipschitz property of φ_n with lipschitz constant L and the definition of w_n. Analogously the support of $\frac{(1-\frac{1}{\gamma^2}\partial_x^2)w_n}{(\widetilde{\varphi}_n)_x^2} \circ \widetilde{\varphi}_n^{-1}$ is contained in $(\widetilde{\varphi}_n(x_0) - r_n, \widetilde{\varphi}_n(x_0) + r_n)$. So we have

$$r_n \leq |\varphi_n(x_0) - \tilde{\varphi}_n(x_0)|/4$$

This allows us (see [3]) to "separate" the disjointly supported terms. Thus we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\limsup_{n\to\infty} ||\frac{(1-\frac{1}{\gamma^2}\partial_x^2)w_n}{(\varphi_n)_x^2} \circ \varphi_n^{-1} - \frac{(1-\frac{1}{\gamma^2}\partial_x^2)w_n}{(\widetilde{\varphi}_n)_x^2} \circ \widetilde{\varphi}_n^{-1}||_{s-2}^2 \\ &\geq \limsup_{n\to\infty} \tilde{C}(||\frac{(1-\frac{1}{\gamma^2}\partial_x^2)w_n}{(\varphi_n)_x^2} \circ \varphi_n^{-1}||_{s-2}^2 + ||\frac{(1-\frac{1}{\gamma^2}\partial_x^2)w_n}{(\widetilde{\varphi}_n)_x^2} \circ \widetilde{\varphi}_n^{-1}||_{s-2}^2) \\ &\geq \limsup_{n\to\infty} \tilde{C}\frac{2}{C^2}||(1-\frac{1}{\gamma^2}\partial_x^2)w_n||_{s-2}^2 \geq \limsup_{n\to\infty} \tilde{K}||w_n||_s^2 = \tilde{K}R^2/4 \end{aligned}$$

So for any $R \leq R_*$ we have constructed $(z_n)_{n\geq N}, (\tilde{z}_n)_{n\geq N} \subseteq B_R(u_0)$ with $\lim_{n\to\infty} ||z_n - \tilde{z}_n||_s = 0$ and $\limsup_{n\to\infty} ||\Phi(z_n) - \Phi(\tilde{z}_n)||_s \geq C \cdot R$ for some constant $C > 0$ independent of R showing the claim.

□

References

[1] H. Inci, T. Kappeler, P. Topalov: *On the regularity of the composition of diffeomorphisms*, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. 226 (2013), no. 1062

[2] H. Inci: *On the regularity of the solution map of the incompressible Euler equation*, Dyn. Partial Differ. Equ. 12 (2015), no. 2, 97113

[3] H. Inci: *On the well-posedness of the Holm-Staley b-family of equations*, Journal of Nonlinear Mathematical Physics, 23:2, 213-233, (2016)

[4] H. Inci: *On a Lagrangian formulation of the incompressible Euler equation*, J. Partial Differ. Equ. 29 (2016), no. 4.

[5] H. Inci: *On the well-posedness of the inviscid SQG equation*, arXiv:1609.08334, 2016.

[6] D. Karapetyan: *Non-uniform dependence and well-posedness for the hyperelastic rod equation*, J. Differential Equations 249, no. 4, 2010.

[7] S. Lang: *Differential and Riemannian Manifolds*, 3rd edition, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1995.

[8] E. Olson: *Non-uniform dependence on initial data for a family of non-linear evolution equations*, Differential Integral Equations 19 (10) (2006) 10811102.

[9] Z. Yin: *On the Cauchy problem for a nonlinearly dispersive wave equation*, J. Nonlinear Math. Phys. 10 (1) (2003).

[10] Y. Zhou: *Local well-posedness and blow-up criteria of solutions for a rod equation*, Math. Nachr. 278 (14) (2005).

Hasan Inci
EPFL SB MATHAA PDE
MA C1 627 (Bâtiment MA)
Station 8
CH-1015 Lausanne
Schwitzerland
email: hasan.inci@epfl.ch